



GT Resources Inc.

CORPORATE PRESENTATION

April 2026

Ni
Nickel

Cu
Copper

Pt
Platinum

Au
Gold

Pd
Palladium

Co
Cobalt

TSXV: GT

OTCQB: CGTRF

Frankfurt: 7N1

TSXV: GT OTCQB: NKDRF

Forward Looking Statements



This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements that may involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Actual events or results could differ materially from GT Resources Inc's (the "Company") expectations and projections. The TSXV has neither approved nor disapproved the information contained in this presentation. Except for statements of historical fact relating to the Company, certain information contained herein constitutes "forward-looking statements". Forward-looking statements are frequently characterized by words such as "plan", "expect", "project", "could", "intend", "believe", "anticipate" and other similar words, or statements that certain events or conditions "may" or "will" occur. Forward-looking statements are based on the opinions and estimates of management at the date the statements are made and are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. These factors include the inherent risks involved in the exploration and development of mineral properties, the uncertainties involved in interpreting drilling results and other geological data, fluctuating metal prices, the possibility of project cost overruns or unanticipated costs and expenses, uncertainties relating to the availability and costs of financing needed in the future and other factors. Circumstances or management's estimates or opinions could change. The reader is cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Data and technical information in this document related to the LK Project are extracted from GT Resources Inc's news release dated April 25, 2022.

The Mineral Resource Estimate was prepared by the Company under the supervision of Mr. Sean Horan, P.Geo., Technical Manager of Geology at SLR Consulting Ltd., based in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Mr. Horan is an Independent Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101. The Mineral Resource Estimate in the April 25, 2022 news release has been classified in accordance with CIM Definition Standards on Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (May 14, 2014).

For the purposes of this corporate presentation, Mr. Neil Pettigrew, M.Sc., P. Geo., Vice President of Exploration and a director of the Company is the designated non-independent Qualified Person and has reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information in this document.

Historical Resource Estimates

Readers are cautioned that the Company has not attempted to verify historic mineral resource estimates and therefore readers should not place any reliance on any historical estimate. A qualified person has determined that a significant amount of work would be required to upgrade or verify these historical estimates as current mineral resources or mineral reserves. This would include, but not limited to, compiling and digitizing all known historical work, locating and surveying drill collars, re-logging and resampling historic core, if available, twining multiple drill holes, modern QA/QC procedures, 3D modelling and a new resource estimate to current CIM definition Standards. The Company is unaware of any more recent historical resources other than those stated. The Company is not treating any of these historical estimates as current mineral resources.

A Historical Resource Estimate on the Main Zone of the Canalask Project is quoted at 430,000 tonnes at 1.35% nickel (copper was not reported) by Discovery Mines Ltd. in 1968 (Yukon Assessment Report 013030). The parameters, methodology and used are not fully known, and thus the reliability of the estimate cannot be determined. The resource is reported as undiluted and the categories used were 349,000 tons @ 1.42% Ni in the “probable” category and 81,000 tons @ 1.08% Ni in the “possible” category, but it cannot be determined to which if any current CIM definition standards categories these would pertain. However, it is still considered relevant as underground development and diamond drilling in the 1950 & 1960s supported the estimate and provides a guide for future exploration.

A Historical Resource Estimate on the Beaver Pond Zone of the North Rock project is quoted at 1 million tons grading 1.2% copper by Bergman (1973) (Ontario Mineral Deposit Inventory record MDI52C11NE00029). The parameters, methodology and categorise used are not known, and thus the reliability of the estimate cannot be determined, however, it is still considered relevant as underground development and diamond drilling in the 1960 & 1970s supported the estimate and provides a guide for future exploration.

A Historical Resource Estimate on the Gouda Lake Zone of the Hemlo East Project is quoted at 167,000 tonnes at 3.5 g/t gold by Placer Dome Canada Ltd. Shevchenko (1995) (Ontario Assessment Report 42C12NE0006). The Historic Resource Estimate is stated to be in the inferred category, however, the parameters and methodology used are not known, and thus the reliability of the estimate cannot be determined, however, it is still considered relevant as diamond drilling in the 1980 & 1990s supporting the estimate provides a guide for future exploration.

A Historical Resource Estimate on the Kuhmo Gold Project in central Finland is quoted as 1,500,000 tonnes grading 0.46% Ni for the Arola nickel deposit (Outokumpu 1998) and 175,000 tonnes grading 0.66% Ni using a 0.35% Ni cut-off for the Sika-Aho deposit (Heino 1998). The parameters and methodology and categories used in both are not known, thus the reliability of these estimates cannot be determined, however they are still considered relevant as the extensive diamond drilling supporting these estimates provides a guide for future exploration.

References

Antoniuk, T. 1968, Discovery Mines Limited. Micro Nickle Project, White River Area – Whitehorse Mining District Y.T. Report on the 1968 Program of Surface and Underground Diamond Drilling. Yukon Assessment File 013030, Yukon Mineral Occurrence Reference 14087.

Bergman 1973, In: Ontario Mineral Deposit Inventory record MDI52C11NE00029

Shevchenko 1995. Geological Mapping Surveys conducted o nteh White River Property “Main Block” Thunder Minind Disvison, Ontario Volume I of II In: Ontario Assessment Report 42C12NE0006

Outokumpu, 1998. historic company report, not a Ni43-101 compliant historic mineral resource estimate.

Heino, T., 1998. HYYNSALMI, Puistola 1 (kaivosrekisteri No 5657/1) ja Paatola 1 (kaivosrekisteri No 5619/1) nikkeliestiintymän mineraalivarantoarvio. Geological Survey of Finland, Archive report, M19/4421/-98/1/10, 33 pp.

GT Resources: 2026 Work Plan

LK (Finland)	Kuhmo (Finland)
Pt-Pd-Cu-Ni	Au + Ni
Drill core infill sampling and Nickel re-assaying (underway)	Belt-scale targeting using historical and regional data (underway)
	Historic drill core relogging and assaying for gold
	Detailed airborne magnetics to identify and refine gold-bearing structures
	Prospecting, mapping, infill soil sampling
	Drill target generation



Capital Structure: Trading at cash value

Market Valuation	
Cash (proforma 9/30)	\$8.0M
Enterprise value	\$7.6M
Market Cap	\$15.6M
Share price	\$0.040/sh
Cash per share	\$0.021/sh
Percentage Market Cap in cash	51%

- Downside risk mitigated with cash-backed share price
- GT has not yet re-rated relative to the price appreciation of its primary commodity exposures

Capitalization (millions)			
Shares issued			389
Options	Avg. strike	\$ 0.12	20
RSU/DSU			8
Warrants			-
Fully diluted			417

Notable Shareholders	
Glencore Plc	16.1%
Eric Sprott	


Platinum 148%

Palladium 93%

Gold 82%

Copper 32%

GT 69%



Source: <https://www.tradingview.com>

Project Portfolio

	LK	Kuhmo	Canalask	North Rock
	Pt-Pd-Cu-Ni	Au + Ni	Cu-Ni	Cu-Pt-Pd
Jurisdiction	Finland	Finland	Yukon, Canada	Ontario, Canada
Ownership	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hectares (ha)	3,000	12,300	3,400	7,000
Geology	Copper-PGE rich magmatic sulphide mineralization	Orogenic and IRGS gold Komatiite-hosted magmatic sulphide mineralization	Nickel-rich magmatic sulphide mineralization	Copper-rich magmatic sulphide mineralization
Criteria:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Current ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Historical ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Historical ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Historical ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓

- Portfolio designed for fundamental metal demand
- Proven discovery success
- Strategy: Leverage technical expertise to conduct accretive transaction(s) and discover new deposits

Mining in Finland

TOP MINING JURISDICTION

Globally – Mining Policy Framework
Globally – Investment Attractiveness

ROBUST MINING HISTORY

44 active mines
Population of 5.5 million people

EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE

Less capital - existing:

- ✓ Paved road(s) access
- ✓ Railway
- ✓ High-voltage power on property



LOCAL SKILLED LABOUR

Trades / Workshops

INCOME TAX RATE


20% in Finland

IN-COUNTRY SMELTING AND REFINING

NO NATURA 2000 ENCROACHMENT


- ✓ Major city: population of 200,000, 190 km from LK project
- ✓ Smaller cities located 160, 90 km from LK project

- Metallic Ore
- Industrial Mineral
- Cu and Ni smelter
- Ni products, Ni-Co Sulphate
- Ni-Co Sulphate





Source: Geological Survey of Finland 2022

Läntinen Koillismaa (LK) Copper-Nickel Complex



Läntinen Koillismaa (LK) Copper-Nickel Complex



Läntinen Koillismaa (LK) PGE-Cu-Ni Project NI 43-101 Mineral Resource Estimate (April 25, 2022)



Total open-pit resources increased by 84%

- ✓ 1.1 million oz Total Precious Metals (Indicated) at 0.89 g/t & 0.13% Cu in 38.2 million tonnes
- ✓ 1.1 million oz Total Precious Metals (Inferred) at 0.68 g/t & 0.16% Cu in 49.7 million tonnes
- ✓ 100% owned
- ✓ ~32 km favourable basal contact
- ✓ Resource only covers ~5 km
- ✓ Disseminated, high-tenor magmatic sulphides
- ✓ Progressing to PEA



LK drill core with disseminated and blebby sulphides

LK Project Expansion Potential



Large expansion footprint for current resource

- Current resource only covers 5 km of 32 km strike length
- Multiple targets for additional resource growth
- Two near-term areas of target potential have been defined for resource expansion and represent 3 - 4 km of strike length
- Additional resource expansion targets outside of the Kaukua deposit, along the 11 km Haukiaho trend

LK Project: NI 43-101 Resource Sensitivity

RESOURCE SENSITIVITY TO PALLADIUM PRICE (US\$ / OZ) IN-SITU CONTAINED METAL – Mineral Resource Estimate – April 2022

Category	Pd Price (US\$/oz)	Pd (M oz)	Pt (M oz)	Au (M oz)	TPM ⁽¹⁾ (M oz)	Cu (M lbs)	Ni (M lbs)	Co (M lbs)	Tonnes (M t)
Total Indicated	\$900	0.58	0.21	0.07	0.85	83.3	67.9	4	27
	\$1,400	0.7	0.25	0.08	1.03	104.4	85.6	5.1	35
	\$1,600	0.73	0.26	0.08	1.07	108.8	89.9	5.3	37
	\$1,700	0.74	0.26	0.08	1.09	110.7	91.6	5.4	38
	\$1,800	0.75	0.27	0.08	1.11	112.5	93.4	5.5	39
	\$2,000	0.87	0.31	0.09	1.27	127	112.1	6.7	47
	\$2,500	0.97	0.34	0.11	1.42	143.8	133.6	8.1	55
Total Inferred	\$900	0.47	0.19	0.1	0.75	120.6	102.8	5.2	31
	\$1,400	0.62	0.24	0.13	0.99	158.9	137.4	7.2	44
	\$1,600	0.66	0.26	0.13	1.06	169.7	147.4	7.8	48
	\$1,700	0.68	0.26	0.14	1.08	172.9	151.5	8.1	50
	\$1,800	0.7	0.27	0.14	1.11	179.1	156.2	8.3	51
	\$2,000	0.77	0.3	0.15	1.21	192.6	170.9	9.2	57
	\$2,500	0.88	0.34	0.17	1.39	220.8	200.6	11	68

Comments:

1. Only the Palladium Price is varied, all other commodity prices remain fixed at the 2022 MRE price deck.
2. Each Palladium price point is tabulated using a conceptual pit specific to that price point.

- CIM (2014) definitions were followed for Mineral Resources.
- The Mineral Resources have been reported above a preliminary open pit constraining surface using a Net Smelter Return (NSR) pit discard cut-off of US\$12.5/t (which for comparison purposes equates to an approximately 0.65 g/t Palladium Equivalent in-situ cut-off, based on metal prices)
- The NSR used for reporting is based on the following:
 - Long term metal prices of US\$ 1,700/oz Pd, US\$ 1,100/oz Pt, US\$ 1,800/oz Au, US\$ 4.25/lb Cu, US\$ 8.50/lb Ni and US\$ 25/lb Co.
 - Variable metallurgical recoveries for each metal were used at Kaukua and Murtolampi and fixed recoveries of 79.8% Pd, 80.1% Pt, 65% Au, 89% Cu, 64% Ni and 0% Co at Haukiahö.
 - Commercial terms for a Cu and Ni concentrate based on indicative quotations from smelters.
- Total Precious Metals (TPM) equals palladium plus platinum plus gold
- Bulk densities range between 1.8 and 3.23 t/m³.
- Numbers may not add up due to rounding.
- Mineral Resources, which are not Mineral Reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- The quantity and grade of reported inferred resources in this estimation are conceptual in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as an indicated or measured mineral resources and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an indicated or measured mineral resource category.

Effective date April 25, 2022 see NI43-101 entitled "Technical Report on the Lantinen Koillismaa Project, Finland Report for NI43-101", prepared by the Company under the supervision of SLR Consulting (Canada) Ltd. (formerly Roscoe, Postle Associates Inc.) as filed on www.Sedar.com

LK Project: Kaukua Metallurgy

Concentrate Grade	Bulk ⁽¹⁾	Copper ⁽²⁾	Nickel ⁽³⁾	Recovery Rate to Concentrate
Mass pull	1.20%	0.36%	0.84%	-
Palladium	40.1 g/t	38.3 g/t	40.8 g/t	74%
Platinum	11.6 g/t	13.1 g/t	11.0 g/t	56%
Gold	5.4 g/t	11.2 g/t	2.9 g/t	73%
Copper	11.70%	30%	3.90%	89%
Nickel	3.83%	1.43%	4.85%	30%
Cobalt	0.2 g/t	0.10%	0.20%	-
Rhodium	1.5 g/t	1.0 g/t	1.7 g/t	-
PdEq	88.2 g/t	116 g/t	76.4 g/t	-
US\$ Value per tonne	\$4,819	\$6,339	\$4,173	

(1) Represents aggregate concentrate produced.

(2) Represents preferential copper segregation from the Bulk Concentrate.

(3) Represents the remaining Bulk concentrate less the Copper Concentrate extracted.

(4) Rhodium was not consistently analyzed for; these values represent select analyses of nickel and copper concentrates; a price of \$10,000/oz was used for purpose of this table for information purposes only.

(5) PdEq and Concentrate Value is calculated using metal price only for information purposes, it **does not include Rhodium** and is calculated using the current resource price deck of \$1,700 US oz Pd, \$1,100 US oz Pt, \$1,800 US oz Au, \$4.25 US lb Cu, \$8.50 US lb Ni, and \$25 US lb Co.

Highly saleable

Nickel concentrate value exceeds typical Sudbury & Scandinavian concentrate

Advanced Metallurgical Testing


- **High-tenor sulphide deposit**
- Conventional flotation process
- Consistently reproducible recovery rates across all rock types.
- Desirable high content of both iron and sulphur in concentrates.
- **No deleterious elements**, MgO < 6%
- Low shipping costs with 1.2% mass pull

Payable Metal Economic Exposure

- 59% Precious Metals
- 44% Palladium
- 29% Copper
- 12% Nickel

Kuhmo Gold + Nickel-Copper-PGE Magmatic Sulphide

- Limited drilling - gold intercepts including:
 - 11.4 g/t Au over 0.9 m
 - 2.3 g/t Au over 4.7 m
- Orogenic gold (e.g. Abitibi)
- Intrusion-related gold (e.g. Yukon)
- Widespread untested gold-in-till anomalies
- As-Bi-W-Te (IRGS pathfinder anomalies)
- 22,000 historical till sample dataset
- Historical drilling focused on nickel
- Year-round road access and rail
- Historical komatiite-hosted nickel deposits
 - Sika-Aho: 175,000 tonnes @ 0.66% Ni
 - Arola: 1,500,000 tonnes @ 0.46% Ni
- Large 12,300 ha land package in favourable greenstone belt



Kuhmo: Geochemical and mineralogical indicators

Tourmaline-quartz breccia in outcrop at Aittoranta:



Laminated, banded tourmaline-quartz vein from Aittoranta:



- Arsenic-antimony-bismuth-tungsten-tellurium anomalies associated with mapped felsic intrusive stocks (orogenic and IRGS signatures)
- Gold-bearing quartz-tourmaline breccias observed at Kuhmo (Aittoranta occurrence) – similar to other Archean gold systems – examples: Eau Claire, Lemaque, and Sigma deposits (Quebec)
- Late clastic sediments associated with belt-scale structures – similar to Timiskaming sediments and major structural breaks in the Abitibi
- Prospective lithologies for gold and nickel – komatiites, banded iron formation, metavolcanics, clastic sediments, late felsic intrusive stocks



Gold bearing
quartz-tourmaline
at Eau Claire

Experienced Leadership Team



Derrick Weyrauch, CPA, CA

President, CEO, and Director

- 30+ years of capital markets experience
- Co-Founder of Magna Mining Inc., Chairman at Nortec Minerals Corp.

Neil Pettigrew, M.Sc., P.Geo

VP Exploration and Director

- A geologist with over 20 years of experience in the mineral exploration industry, with extensive expertise in copper-nickel-PGE and orogenic gold deposits.

Sara Hills, CPA, CA

Chief Financial Officer

- 16+ years progressive experience, including with KGHM Int'l and Teck Resources.

Steven Velimirovic

VP Corp. Development

- Over 20 years of Investment Banking experience and has advised on several prominent M&A, equity, and debt transactions, totalling over US\$50 billion, in the mining industry.

Lawrence Roulston, B.Sc.

Non-Executive Chairman, Independent Director
(Audit, ESG and Comp Committee)

- A mining professional with over 40 years of diverse hands-on experience as a business advisor, consultant and mining analyst.

Cameron Bell, P.Geo

Independent Director

(Audit and Comp Committee)

- Globally recognized geologist expert with over 30 years of industry experience on magmatic nickel-cobalt-copper and precious metal ore deposits.
- Former Regional Manager North America/Oceania/Australasia at Inco/Vale.

Giovanna Bee Moscoso, LLM

Independent Director

(ESG and Audit Committee)

- A mining lawyer and executive with over 28 years of experience.
- 25 years at Barrick Gold Corporation, where previously she was a partner, Vice President, and Assistant General Counsel.

Gordon Marrs

Metallurgical Engineering

- A recognized expert in processing magmatic and volcanogenic sulphide ores. Currently consultant at XPS Expert Process Solutions, Glencore Canada.



Environmental, Social & Governance (ESG)

STRATEGY

GT Resources strives to produce **Green Transportation Metals** while delivering **Net-Zero Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions** over the full life of its exploration, development, mining activities and that of the metals it strives to produce.



ENVIRONMENT

- **Implementation of robust Water Stewardship practices**
- **Conserve biodiversity, implement integrated land use planning**
- **Utilization of Green Energy** - grid power, sources from renewable + nuclear
- **Implement energy efficiency practices**, electrify mining equipment
- **No exploration or development in World Heritage sites**
- **Avoid activities in natural conservation areas**
- Design, construction, and operation utilizing **Best Available Techniques (BAT)**



SOCIAL

- Contribute to **social and economic development** of communities
- Maximize domestic and local job recruitment
- **Advance Diversity & Equal Opportunity**
- **Proactively engage key stakeholders**



GOVERNANCE

- **Independent**
- Board of Directors, Audit Committee, ESG Committee, Compensation Committee, Board Chair
- **Committee Charters**
- Audit Committee, ESG Committee, Compensation Committee
- **Policies**
- Code of Conduct & Ethics, Diversity and Inclusion, Insider Trading, Whistleblower
- **CEO Responsibility**
- Economic, Environmental and Social matters
- **Regulated by Canadian Securities Laws**
- Requires quarterly reporting
- Material information disclosure via news releases.



GT Resources Inc.

Contact us at:

info@gtresourcesinc.com

Follow us at:

www.gtresourcesinc.com

X: @gtresourcesinc

LinkedIn: GT Resources

Ni
Nickel

Cu
Copper

Pt
Platinum

Au
Gold

Pd
Palladium

Co
Cobalt

TSXV: GT OTCQB: CGTRF Frankfurt: 7N1

TSXV: GT OTCQB: NKDRF