



CORPORATE PRESENTATION:

A Casino-Style Copper-Gold Porphyry in the Dawson Range

June 2026



TSX-V: GT

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements that may involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Actual events or results could differ materially from GT Resources Inc's (the "Company") expectations and projections. The TSXV has neither approved nor disapproved the information contained in this presentation. Except for statements of historical fact relating to the Company, certain information contained herein constitutes "forward-looking statements". Forward-looking statements are frequently characterized by words such as "plan", "expect", "project", "could", "intend", "believe", "anticipate" and other similar words, or statements that certain events or conditions "may" or "will" occur. Forward-looking statements are based on the opinions and estimates of management at the date the statements are made and are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. These factors include the inherent risks involved in the exploration and development of mineral properties, the uncertainties involved in interpreting drilling results and other geological data, fluctuating metal prices, the possibility of project cost overruns or unanticipated costs and expenses, uncertainties relating to the availability and costs of financing needed in the future and other factors. Circumstances or management's estimates or opinions could change. The reader is cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Data and technical information in this document related to the LK Project are extracted from GT Resources Inc's news release dated April 25, 2022.

The Mineral Resource Estimate was prepared by the Company under the supervision of Mr. Sean Horan, P.Geo., Technical Manager of Geology at SLR Consulting Ltd., based in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Mr. Horan is an Independent Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101. The Mineral Resource Estimate in the April 25, 2022 news release has been classified in accordance with CIM Definition Standards on Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (May 14, 2014).

For the purposes of this corporate presentation, Mr. Neil Pettigrew, M.Sc., P. Geo., Vice President of Exploration and a director of the Company is the designated non-independent Qualified Person and has reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information in this document.

Readers are cautioned that the Company has not attempted to verify historic mineral resource estimates and therefore readers should not place any reliance on any historical estimate. A qualified person has not done sufficient work to classify a historical estimate as a current mineral resource, additionally, a qualified person has not yet determined what work needs to be done to upgrade or verify a historical estimate as a current mineral resource or mineral reserve. The Company is not treating any historical estimates as current mineral resources.

A Historical Resource Estimate on the Main Zone of the Canalask Project is quoted at 400,000 tonnes at 1.35% nickel (copper was not reported) by Discovery Mines Ltd. in 1968 (Yukon Assessment Report 094599). The parameters, methodology and categories used are not known, and thus the reliability of the estimate cannot be determined, however, it is still considered relevant as underground development and diamond drilling in the 1950 & 1960s supported the estimate and provides a guide for future exploration.

A Historical Resource Estimate on the Beaver Pond Zone of the North Rock project is quoted at 1 million tons grading 1.2% copper by Bergman (1973) (Ontario Mineral Deposit Inventory record MDI52C11NE00029). The parameters, methodology and categories used are not known, and thus the reliability of the estimate cannot be determined, however, it is still considered relevant as underground development and diamond drilling in the 1960 & 1970s supported the estimate and provides a guide for future exploration.

A Historical Resource Estimate on the Gouda Lake Zone of the Hemlo East Project is quoted at 167,000 tonnes at 3.5 g/t gold by Placer Dome Canada Ltd. Shevchenko (1995) (Ontario Assessment Report 42C12NE0006). The Historic Resource Estimate is stated to be in the inferred category, however, the parameters and methodology used are not known, and thus the reliability of the estimate cannot be determined, however, it is still considered relevant as diamond drilling in the 1980 & 1990s supported the estimate and provides a guide for future exploration.

Historical Resource Estimates on the Arola Deposit of the Kuhmo project is quoted as 1,500,000 tonnes grading 0.46% Ni by Outokumpu (1998 historic Outokumpu company report). The parameters, methodology and categories used are not known, and thus the reliability of the estimate cannot be determined; however, it is still considered relevant as the historic diamond drilling supporting the estimate provides a guide for future exploration.

Historical Resource Estimates on the Sika-Aho Deposits of the Kuhmo project are quoted as 175,000 tonnes grading 0.66% Ni by Heino, T., 1998. HYRYNSALMI, Puistola 1 (kaivosrekisteri No 5657/1) ja Paatola 1 (kaivosrekisteri No 5619/1) nikkeliesiintymän mineraalivarantoarvio. Geological Survey of Finland, Archive report, M19/4421/-98/1/10, 33 pp.). The parameters, methodology and categories used are not known, and thus the reliability of the estimate cannot be determined; however, it is still considered relevant as the historic diamond drilling supporting the estimate provides a guide for future exploration.

Management

Derrick Weyrauch, CPA CA

CEO, Director

- 30+ years of capital markets experience. Co-Founder of Magna Mining Inc., Non-Executive Chairman at Nortec Minerals Corp.

Neil Pettigrew, M.Sc. P.Geo.

VPX, Director

- 25+ years of experience in the mineral exploration industry, with extensive expertise in copper-nickel-PGE and orogenic gold deposits. Co-owner of Fladgate Geological Consulting for 20 years.

Sarah Hills, CPA CA

CFO

- 16+ years progressive experience, including with KGHM International and Teck Resources.

Steve Velimirovic

VP Corporate Development

- 17+ years of investment banking experience, has advised on several prominent M&A, equity, and debt transactions in the mining industry.

Independent Board

Lawrence Roulston, B.Sc.

Non-Executive Chairman

- 35+ years of hands-on experience. A mining professional with diverse experience as a business advisors, consultant and mining analyst.

Cam Bell, M.Sc. P.Geo.

Director

- 30+ years industry experience in copper-nickel and precious metal ore deposits. Globally recognized geologist, former regional manager for North America/Oceania/Australasia at Inco/Vale.

Giovanna Moscoso, LLM

- 30+ years of mining law experience. 25 years at Barrick Gold Corporation, where she was a partner, Vice President, and Assistant General Counsel.

Proven Discoverers. Disciplined Capital Managers.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Cash (12/31)	\$7.5M	Shares O/S	389M
Enterprise Value	\$6.0M	Options	23M
Market Capitalization	\$13.5M	RSU/DSU	11M
Share Price	\$0.035/share	Warrants	--
Cash per Share	\$0.021/share	Fully Diluted	423M

KEY SHAREHOLDER

Glencore	16.1%
----------	-------

INVESTMENT RATIONALE

Team	Financially, strategically, and technically supported by Glencore . Proven ability to go from grassroots to discovery .
CD Project	50,000 meters permitted for drill testing a Cu-Au porphyry target in the Dawson Range Gold Belt, Yukon.
Kuhmo Project	100% owned, district-scale greenstone belt in Finland - visible gold, >20,000 till samples.
LK Project¹	NI 43-101 Resource: M&I: 1.1 million oz (PGE+Au), 111 million lb Cu, 92 million lb Ni; Inferred: 1.1 million oz (PGE+Au), 111 million lb Cu, 92 million lb Ni

Portfolio Excellence: Strategic Value Creation.



What do we do?

We target, acquire, and **develop high-conviction mineral** exploration projects.



What do we look for?

Projects where we see a clear-path to creating shareholder value.

Criteria: safe jurisdiction, existing infrastructure, regulatory certainty.



How do we progress projects?

Utilize tailored exploration practices in a structured framework to maximize the effectiveness of every dollar spent.



How is GT Resources different from other juniors?

We supplement in-house expertise with that of **Glencore** via a joint technical committee that brings together diverse technical horsepower. GT's **discovery process** generates results.



EXPLORATION STRATEGY

Scalable & Proven Projects: Focus on immediately scalable systems with established mineralization and a clear direction to expand grade and tonnage.

Data-Driven Discovery Strategy: Leverage historical and modern datasets (geophysics/geochem) to identify high-conviction, overlooked targets.

Tier-1 Jurisdictional Focus: Operate exclusively in low-risk, established mining regions within the Americas and Europe, anchored by core assets in Canada and Finland.

Permitted & Drill-Ready Pipeline: Prioritize projects with existing or near-term permits to accelerate exploration timelines and reach discovery faster.

Cost-Optimized Exploration Logistics: Maximize "dollars-in-the-ground" by targeting road-accessible projects in fertile mineral camps.

2026 Exploration Fully Funded

Au-Cu Porphyry

CD PROJECT

Yukon, Canada

Untested, coincident IP chargeability & gold-in-soil anomaly

- Dawson Range Gold Range Belt, 90 km SW of Casino deposit
- CD mimics the geology, age, and alteration of the nearby Casino deposit
- **Untested gold-in-soil anomalies coincident with IP chargeability** (Maloney Target)
- Classic porphyry pipe-like geometry with a magnetic core, surrounded by an IP chargeable rim
- Large, modern digital dataset
- Derisked, drill ready targets
- Secondary target: gold-silver vein-hosted system (Schist Target)
- Drill permitted (50,000 meters, Class 3 to 2033)
- Next steps: MobileMT geophysics, mapping, and sampling in 2026, followed by drilling in 2027

22K

Hectares

90km

SW of Casino

75%

earning interest

Orogenic Au

KUHMO PROJECT

Central Finland

District-scale greenstone belt with multiple discovery pathways

- Belt-scale, greenstone-hosted project
- Analogue to Canada's Abitibi Gold Camp
- Proven mineralization: **850 g/t gold** (sample 19934079) in historic grabs and **1.26% nickel** (Hole R306) in historical drill holes
- **Visible gold in prospecting samples**
- **Untested gold-in-soil anomalies**
- 20,000 soil samples completed = robust dataset
- Road access, power, rail = excellent infrastructure
- Next steps: Target refinement, prospecting, mapping and infill till sampling followed by drill testing

12.3K

Hectares


100%

Owned

0%

Royalties

Unlocking a 50-year mystery in the shadow of a giant.



The Dawson Range Gold Belt:
**underexplored for gold-copper
porphyry** despite large known deposits
(Casino) due to:

- Remote
- Small surface footprint
- Atypical porphyry-style mineralization
- Lack of outcrop
- Poor government mapping and geophysics

Supportive Government:



Federal and Territorial
government actively
encouraging investment in the
north

Small Footprint & Underexplored Rocks.

CD Project: Key Advantages


Key Investment Rationale

- ✓ **Porphyry deposits require less drilling** for discovery and definition, saves cash
- ✓ **Shorter timelines** to NI 43-101 resources vs. other deposit types
- ✓ **Casino deposit analogue**
- ✓ Yukon Land claims largely settled vs. other jurisdictions
- ✓ Broad Territorial and Federal Government support
- ✓ Economies of scale in a Tier-1 jurisdiction
- ✓ Numerous newly minted, multi-billion dollar juniors operating in Yukon

Casino Deposit: Simple and *scalable*.


HEAP LEACH
RESERVE
209.6 million tonnes

MILL RESERVE
1.22 billion tonnes



- ✓ Mill Reserve underpins Casino's initial **27-year mine life**
- ✓ Mill Reserve represents just **one-third of currently defined resources**
- ✓ Core zone leads to **quick payback** – milled grade of **0.66% CuEq** and extremely low strip ratio of **0.26:1** in Year 1–4

High Quality, Drill Ready Porphyry Target.



Classic Porphyry Signatures

More data since 1970's drilling

✓ Historical drilling lacked the benefit of IP, detailed mag, and gold-in-soil anomalies

✓ Strong mag, high-resistivity core flanked by IP chargeability high, coincident with gold-in-soil anomaly

✓ Hole (76-5) "nipped" the edge of the IP chargeability anomaly, returned anomalous Au-Cu-Mo-Ag, but was stopped too early (123 m)




PLUNGING TO DEPTH

Plunge +20
Azimuth 342



Au-Cu Porphyry Target in a Proven Belt.





How does CD compare to Casino from a regional tectonic framework?

- ✓ **Right conditions** for large volumes of metal-rich hydrous magma (Casino & Prospector Mountain Suites)
- ✓ Intrusive events in the Dawson Range Gold Belt are **controlled by the main NW structures** (Tintina fault, Big Creek fault) and secondary NE-trending structures
- ✓ This area has potential for **multiple, additional Casino-Suite porphyry targets** due to limited government mapping and lack of exploration history
- ✓ **Preserved systems:** largely unglaciated, (leached caps and underlying supergene) allowing for *near-surface, high-grade zones to generate early mine-life cash flow*
- ✓ **Bonus** Au-Ag vein hosted system (Schist target) similar to Klaza or Coffee deposits

	CASINO (Western Copper & Gold) 2.5 Bt M&I, 1.4 Bt Inf. \$800M Market Cap	KLAZA (Rockhaven) 4.5 Mt Ind., 5.7 Mt Inf. \$60M Market Cap	FREEGOLD (Triumph Gold) 42 Mt Ind., 39 Mt Inf. \$30M Market Cap	CD
Host terrane	YTT	YTT	YTT	YTT
Basement	Snowcap + Batholith	Batholith (MKW)	Snowcap + Batholith	Snowcap + Finlayson
Intrusive Suite	Casino (72-74 Ma)	Casino + Prospector Mountain (71-80 Ma)	Casino (74-76 Ma)	Casino (73-76 Ma)
Intrusion Type	Quartz monzonite porphyry	Feldspar porphyry dykes	Feldspar-quartz porphyry	Quartz diorite porphyry
Breccia	Contact breccia pipes	Vein breccias	Breccia	Quartz porphyry breccia
Alteration Zonation	Potassic-Phyllic-Propylitic	Potassic-Phyllic-Propylitic	Sodic-Potassic-Propylitic	Potassic (magnetite-SiO ₂) core, Phyllic + Propylitic halo
Core Mineralization	Cu-Au-Mo	Au-Ag (Cu-Mo at depth)	Au-Cu-Mo	Cu-Au-Mo (Maloney Target)
Distal Mineralization	Pb-Zn-Ag	Mn-Ag-Pb-Zn	Au-Cu-Ag-Pb-Zn	Mn-Au-Ag-Zn (Schist Target)
Ore Deposit Model	Polymetallic Porphyry	Epithermal + Porphyry	Epithermal + Skarn	Polymetallic Porphyry + Epithermal
Supergene Profile	70 m leached cap	Discrete ~10 m	Minor	20-60 m leach zone
Structural Controls	NW + NE fault intersection	NW structural belt with E-W intersections	NW Big Creek major structure	NW + NE fault intersection
Soil anomaly scale	2 x 1.2 km	Belt-Scale	Multiple km length and width	2-3 x 1 km (open)

Casino Analogue



✓ **Same age rocks (~75 Ma)**

U-Pb dating confirms Casino-age felsic porphyry

✓ **Similar atypical Porphyry signature**

Magnetic core surrounded by a mineralized rim of breccia and zoned alteration

✓ **Similar small footprint (easy to miss)**

Casino-scale porphyry, 4 x 1.5 km Au-Cu-in-soil anomaly

✓ **Similar alteration**

Early potassic → phyllic – propylitic → late epithermal, and associated brecciation

The Maloney Target:

Modern geochemistry and geophysics indicate the highest priority target remains untested.

Footprint:

2.5 x 1.0 km Au-Cu-in-soil anomaly. Au-in-Soil coincident with modern 3D IP chargeability anomaly

Magnetic, IP Chargeability Correlation:

Strong magnetic core flanked by IP chargeability high, suggests classic zoned porphyry system

Drill-Ready Targets:

Designed to test the heart of the system - targeting the "engine" that historical explorers couldn't see



Drilling

- 6 shallow drillholes in 1970s returned anomalous mineralization despite not having the benefit of IP or detailed mag:
- 0.15% Cu / 15.2 m (76-2)
- 0.09 g/t Au, 0.10% Cu / 21.3 m (76-4)
 - Including **0.24 g/t Au**, **0.13% Cu**, 0.8 g/t Ag, 14 g/t Mo / 0.3 m



Trenching

- 5 m channel: **0.43 g/t Au**, **0.15% Cu**, **196 g/t Mo**



Grabs

- **Grabs: 0.81 g/t Au**, 7.16 g/t Ag (quartz-mica schist) collected from a pit under a 632 ppb Au-in-soil sample



Soil Samples

- **Up to 1,270 ppb Au**, **1,485 ppm Cu**
- Widespread, 2.5 x 1.0 km anomaly over the Maloney Porphyry target






Geophysics

- 3D IP untested chargeability anomaly beneath very strong Au and Cu soil anomalies
- Large, E-W trending strong magnetic response

Secondary Untested Target: 4 kilometers of Potential.

Bonus epithermal Au-Ag Vein target, numerous recently staked placer claims in the area



UNDRILLED


Soils

- ✓ 4 km long anomaly with up to 223 ppb Au
- ✓ Strong As-Sb anomaly, up to 2,280 As

Bedrock

- ✓ 6 trenches: 1.67 g/t Au over 6.5m
- ✓ Inc. 2.82 g/t Au over 3.0m
- ✓ Grab samples, peak values: 6.29 g/t Au, 25.7 g/t Ag, 3110 ppm As and 53 ppm Sb

Widespread Au-in-Soil Anomalies



Epithermal Vein, Breccia Hosted

- ✓ Potentially related to Klaza Au-Ag or Coffee Au-As Style deposits
- ✓ 72 Ma felsic porphyry dykes consistent with Casino/Prospector Mountain Suite age dates, but age of mineralization unknown
- ✓ Evidence of Au-Ag epithermal veins, possibly representing distal porphyry related hydrothermal system
- ✓ **Strong As anomaly (up to 2,280 ppm in soil), Sb (153 ppm), and Ag pathfinders with similarities to Klaza, Coffee, Freegold, and Sonora Gulch style mineralization**
- ✓ Surface sericite-manganese oxide alteration consistent with intermediate-sulphidation epithermal veins, **similar to Klaza which is interpreted to be to a higher-temperature porphyry center**
- ✓ Similar complex NE-SW trending intersecting structures similar to Maloney Porphyry Target

CD Project: Plans

CD CATALYSTS / TIMELINE

1

Phase 1: Targeting (0–12 Months)

Goal

- Refine Drill Targets
- Prepare for 2027 Drill Program

New Geophysics

- MobileMT survey to map lithologies and mineralization at depth

Boots on the Ground

- Mapping, prospecting additional age dating

Logistics Base-Case:

- Coordinating with local service providers

2

Phase 2: Discovery Drill Program (12–18 Months)

Goal

- Confirm the “Casino Style” Au-Cu Porphyry thesis

Maiden Drill Campaign:

- Initial 2,000m–4,000m diamond drill program

Target:

- The heart of the coincident Au-in-Soil - 3D IP anomaly that historical hole 76-5 “nipped”

3

Phase 3: Definition Drill Program & Satellite Testing (18–36 Months)

Goal

- Expand anticipated Au-Cu Porphyry discovery
- Test satellite targets


Schist Target

- Maiden drill program on 4 km gold-in-soil anomaly.

Additional Geophysics

- Expand IP and Mobile MT coverage to search for additional blind mineralization under thick overburden

FINLAND: Orogenic Gold



Key Advantages: Abitibi Analogue

- ✓ Right conditions for large, high-grade gold deposit formation, with road access
- ✓ Tier-1 Jurisdiction (12,300 hectares)
- ✓ Kuhmo Belt was traditionally explored for nickel by Finnish State, who largely ignored gold potential
 - ✓ Hosts two historic nickel resources: Sika-Aho & Arola Deposits
- ✓ Numerous untested Au-in-soil anomalies
- ✓ Large digital dataset including
 - ✓ 22,000 till samples
- ✓ Drill hole & prospecting (layman) samples
- ✓ Regional magnetic and EM surveys
- ✓ Excellent government mapping
- ✓ Late (Timiskaming-style) clastic sediments
- ✓ Large Belt scale faults

Kuhmo Project: Plans

1

Phase 1: Targeting (0–9 Months)

Goal

- Compile Historic Data
- Generate Drill Targets

Core Resampling

- Targeting Ni focused drill holes not sampled for gold (Underway)

Planning

- Data compilation and primary targeting (Complete)

2

Phase 2: Boots on the Ground (9–12 Months)

Goal

- De-risking Drill Targets

Field Programs:

- Mapping, prospecting and infill soil samplings on highest priority targets

Additional Geophysics:

- The heart of the coincident Au-in-Soil - 3D IP anomaly that historical hole 76-5 "nipped"

3

Phase 3: Discovery Drill Program (12–18 Months)

Goal

- Expand anticipated Au-Cu Porphyry discovery
- Test satellite targets

Schist Target

- Maiden drill program on 4 km gold-in-soil anomaly.

Additional Geophysics

- Expand IP and Mobile MT coverage to search for additional blind mineralization under thick overburden

Why is GT Resources primed for success?

GT isn't just a "one-asset explorer", it has a foundation of value.

Derisked



Drill-ready targets supported by soils, mapping, geophysics

Permitted & supported



Drill permit (to 2033) + First Nations MOU in place

Reasonable access



75 km W of Carmacks and 20 km from the nearest road

Proven track record



Technical team has with history of discovery and project advancement: LK (Finland), Tyko (ON), Magna Mining (ON)

Glencore support



Glencore's geological and geophysical experts support our activities

Project execution



Highly experienced in remote, cost-efficient exploration in challenging areas



DOUBLED RESOURCES OVER 3 YEARS: LÄNTINEN KOILLISMAA PROJECT ("LK") MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE FOR PD, PT, AU, CU, NI, CO

									Contained Metal						
Category	Pd (g/t)	Pt (g/t)	Au (g/t)	TPM (g/t)	Tonnage (Mt)	Cu (%)	Ni (%)	Co (g/t)	Au (koz)	Pd (koz)	Pt (koz)	TPM (koz)	Cu (Mlb)	Ni (Mlb)	Co (Mlb)
Indicated	0.61	0.22	0.07	0.89	38.2	0.13	0.11	65	80	740	260	1090	110.7	91.6	5.4
Inferred	0.43	0.17	0.09	0.68	49.7	0.16	0.14	74	140	680	260	1080	172.9	151.5	8.1

Notes: 1. CIM (2014) definitions were followed for Mineral Resources. 2. The Mineral Resources have been reported above a preliminary open pit constraining surface using an NSR pit discard cut-off of US\$12.5/t (which, for comparison purposes, equates to an approximately 0.65 g/t palladium equivalent (PdEq) in-situ cut-off grade, based on metal prices only). 3. The NSR used for reporting is based on the following: a. Long term metal prices of US\$1,700/oz Pd, US\$1,100/oz Pt, US\$1,800/oz Au, US\$4.25/lb Cu, US\$8.50/lb Ni, and US\$25/lb Co. b. Variable metallurgical recoveries for each metal were used at Kaukua and Murtolampi and fixed recoveries of 79.8% Pd, 80.1% Pt, 65% Au, 89% Cu, 64% Ni, and 0% Co at Haukiahö.

Effective date April 25, 2022 see NI43-101 entitled "Technical Report on the Läntinen Koillismaa Project, Finland Report for NI43-101", prepared by the Company under the supervision of SLR Consulting (Canada) Ltd. (formerly Roscoe, Postle Associates Inc.) as filed on www.Sedar.com

Appendix

LK Project: NI 43-101 Resource Sensitivity

RESOURCE SENSITIVITY TO PALLADIUM PRICE (US\$ / OZ) IN-SITU CONTAINED METAL – Mineral Resource Estimate – April 2022									
Category	Pd Price (US\$/oz)	Pd (M oz)	Pt (M oz)	Au (M oz)	TPM ⁽¹⁾ (M oz)	Cu (M lbs)	Ni (M lbs)	Co (M lbs)	Tonnes (M t)
Total Indicated	\$900	0.58	0.21	0.07	0.85	83.3	67.9	4	27
	\$1,400	0.7	0.25	0.08	1.03	104.4	85.6	5.1	35
	\$1,600	0.73	0.26	0.08	1.07	108.8	89.9	5.3	37
	\$1,700	0.74	0.26	0.08	1.09	110.7	91.6	5.4	38
	\$1,800	0.75	0.27	0.08	1.11	112.5	93.4	5.5	39
	\$2,000	0.87	0.31	0.09	1.27	127	112.1	6.7	47
	\$2,500	0.97	0.34	0.11	1.42	143.8	133.6	8.1	55
Total Inferred	\$900	0.47	0.19	0.1	0.75	120.6	102.8	5.2	31
	\$1,400	0.62	0.24	0.13	0.99	158.9	137.4	7.2	44
	\$1,600	0.66	0.26	0.13	1.06	169.7	147.4	7.8	48
	\$1,700	0.68	0.26	0.14	1.08	172.9	151.5	8.1	50
	\$1,800	0.7	0.27	0.14	1.11	179.1	156.2	8.3	51
	\$2,000	0.77	0.3	0.15	1.21	192.6	170.9	9.2	57
	\$2,500	0.88	0.34	0.17	1.39	220.8	200.6	11	68

- CIM (2014) definitions were followed for Mineral Resources.
- The Mineral Resources have been reported above a preliminary open pit constraining surface using a Net Smelter Return (NSR) pit discard cut-off of US\$12.5/t (which for comparison purposes equates to an approximately 0.65 g/t Palladium Equivalent in-situ cut-off, based on metal prices
- The NSR used for reporting is based on the following:
 - Long term metal prices of US\$ 1,700/oz Pd, US\$ 1,100/oz Pt, US\$ 1,800/oz Au, US\$ 4.25/lb Cu, US\$ 8.50/lb Ni and US\$ 25/lb Co.
 - Variable metallurgical recoveries for each metal were used at Kaukua and Murtolampi and fixed recoveries of 79.8% Pd, 80.1% Pt, 65% Au, 89% Cu, 64% Ni and 0% Co at Haukiahio.
 - Commercial terms for a Cu and Ni concentrate based on indicative quotations from smelters.
- Total Precious Metals (TPM) equals palladium plus platinum plus gold
- Bulk densities range between 1.8 and 3.23 t/m³.
- Numbers may not add up due to rounding.
- Mineral Resources, which are not Mineral Reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- The quantity and grade of reported inferred resources in this estimation are conceptual in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as an indicated or measured mineral resources and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an indicated or measured mineral resource category.

DERRICK WEYRAUCH, CEO

info@gtresourcesinc.com

<https://gtresourcesinc.com>

